- > restart:
- > with(OreModules):
- > with(OreMorphisms);
- > with(linalg):

We consider the following matrix of differential operators with polynomial coefficients appearing in the study of the Beltrami equation $\operatorname{div}((1/x_1)\operatorname{grad} u) = 0$:

- > A:=DefineOreAlgebra(diff=[d[1],x[1]],diff=[d[2],x[2]],polynom=[x[1],x[2]]):
- > R:=matrix(2,2,[d[1],-x[1]*d[2],d[2],x[1]*d[1]]);

$$R := \left[\begin{array}{cc} d_1 & -x_1 d_2 \\ d_2 & x_1 d_1 \end{array} \right]$$

Let us denote by A the Weyl algebra $A_2(\mathbb{Q})$ of differential operators with polynomial coefficients and $M = A^{1\times 2}/(A^{1\times 2}R)$ the left A-module finitely presented by R. As A is a non-commutative ring and M is not a finitely generated \mathbb{Q} -vector space, we know that the endomorphism ring $E = \operatorname{end}_A(M)$ of M is just an abelian group and an infinite-dimensional \mathbb{Q} -vector space. Hence, we can only compute the endomorphisms of M defined by means of matrices whose entries have a fixed order and a fixed degree. Let us find the matrices P of first order in the d_i 's and first degree in the x_i 's defining endomorphisms of M:

> Endo:=Morphisms(R,R,A,1,1);

$$\begin{split} Endo := [\left[\begin{array}{cccc} a_5 \, x_2 \, d_2 + a_5 \, x_1 \, d_1 + a_4 \, d_2 - a_5 + a_3 & 0 \\ -a_5 \, d_2 & a_5 \, x_2 \, d_2 + a_4 \, d_2 + a_3 \end{array} \right], [Ore_algebra, \\ ["diff", "diff"], [x_1, x_2], [d_1, d_2], [x_1, x_2], [a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, a_5, a_6, a_7], 0, [], [], [x_1, x_2], [], [], \\ [diff = [d_1, x_1], diff = [d_2, x_2]]]] \end{split}$$

We obtain that an element $e \in E$ is defined by $e(\pi(\lambda)) = \pi(\lambda Endo[1])$, for all $\lambda \in A^{1\times 2}$, where $\pi: A^{1\times 2} \longrightarrow M$ denote the projection onto M.

Let us now search for idempotents of E defined by matrices of the form Endo[1]:

> Idem:=IdempotentsMat(R,Endo[1],A,Endo[2]);

$$Idem := \left[\left[\begin{array}{cc} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{array} \right], \left[\begin{array}{cc} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{array} \right] \right]$$

We only find the two trivial idempotents of E, namely, 0 and id_M . However, we can try to find an element of E which is homotopically equivalent to 0 which allows us to prove that the matrix R is equivalent to a matrix of the form $\mathrm{diag}(1,a)$, where a is a certain element of A:

> P:=Idem[1]; Q:=evalm(P); Z:=diag(0\$2);

$$P:=\left[egin{array}{ccc} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{array}
ight] \quad Q:=\left[egin{array}{ccc} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{array}
ight] \quad Z:=\left[egin{array}{ccc} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{array}
ight]$$

Let us search for solutions of the algebraic Riccati equation $\Lambda R \Lambda = \Lambda$, where Λ is a first degree polynomial matrix in the x_i 's:

> Mu:=Riccati(R,P,Q,Z,A,0,1,alpha);

$$\begin{aligned} Mu := & [\begin{bmatrix} x_1 & \alpha_1 \, x_1 \\ \alpha_1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}], [\mathit{Ore_algebra}, ["\mathit{diff}", "\mathit{diff}"], [x_1, x_2], [d_1, d_2], [x_1, x_2], \\ & [\alpha_1], 0, [], [\alpha_1^2 + 1], [x_1, x_2], [], [], [\mathit{diff} = [d_1, x_1], \mathit{diff} = [d_2, x_2]]]] \end{aligned}$$

> Lambda:=Mu[1,1];

$$\Lambda := \left[\begin{array}{cc} x_1 & \alpha_1 x_1 \\ \alpha_1 & -1 \end{array} \right]$$

The matrix Λ admits R as a generalized inverse over the ring $B = \mathbb{Q}[\alpha_1](\alpha_1^2 + 1)[d_1, d_2] = \mathbb{Q}(i)[d_1, d_2]$, i.e., we have $\Lambda R \Lambda = \Lambda$ over B.

> B:= Mu[2]:

Then, the matrices $J = P + \Lambda R$ and $K = Q + R \Lambda$ defined by

> J:=evalm(P+Mult(Lambda,R,B)); K:=evalm(Q+Mult(R,Lambda,B));

$$J := \begin{bmatrix} x_1 d_1 + \alpha_1 x_1 d_2 & -x_1^2 d_2 + \alpha_1 x_1^2 d_1 \\ \alpha_1 d_1 - d_2 & -\alpha_1 x_1 d_2 - x_1 d_1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$K := \begin{bmatrix} 1 + x_1 d_1 - \alpha_1 x_1 d_2 & \alpha_1 x_1 d_1 + \alpha_1 + x_1 d_2 \\ x_1 d_2 + \alpha_1 x_1 d_1 & \alpha_1 x_1 d_2 - x_1 d_1 \end{bmatrix}$$

are idempotent matrices, i.e., $J^2 = J$, $K^2 = K$, which define an idempotent $e \in E$ as we have:

- > subs(alpha[1]^2=-1,evalm(Mult(J,J,B)-J));
- > subs(alpha[1]^2=-1,evalm(Mult(K,K,B)-K));
- > subs(alpha[1]^2=-1,evalm(Mult(R,J,B)-Mult(K,R,B));

$$\left[\begin{array}{cc} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{array}\right] \quad \left[\begin{array}{cc} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{array}\right] \quad \left[\begin{array}{cc} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{array}\right]$$

Using the fact that $J^2 = J$ and $K^2 = K$, we obtain that the A-modules $\ker_B(.J)$, $\ker_B(.K)$, $\operatorname{im}_B(.J) = \ker_B(.(I_2 - J))$ and $\operatorname{im}_B(.K) = \ker_B(.(I_2 - K))$ are projective. Let us check whether or not those left B-modules are free and, if so, let us compute bases of them:

- > U1:=SyzygyModule(J,B): U2:=SyzygyModule(evalm(1-J),B):
- > V1:=SyzygyModule(K,B): V2:=SyzygyModule(evalm(1-K),B):
- > U:=subs(alpha[1]^2=-1,stackmatrix(U1,U2));
- > V:=subs(alpha[1]^2=-1,stackmatrix(V1,V2));

$$U := \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_1 & -x_1 \\ \alpha_1 d_2 + d_1 & \alpha_1 x_1 d_1 - x_1 d_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$V := \begin{bmatrix} x_1 d_2 + \alpha_1 x_1 d_1 & -x_1 d_1 - 1 + \alpha_1 x_1 d_2 \\ \alpha_1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

We obtain that the left B-modules $\ker_B(.J)$, $\operatorname{im}_B(.J)$, $\ker_B(.K)$ and $\operatorname{im}_B(.K)$ are free of rank 1. Therefore, the matrices U and V are two unimodular matrices over B, i.e., U, $V \in \operatorname{GL}_2(B)$.

Then, the matrix R is equivalent to the block-diagonal matrix $S = V R U^{-1}$ defined by:

- > S:=subs(alpha[1]^2=-1,alpha[1]^3=-alpha[1],simplify(Mult(V,R,
- > LeftInverse(U,B),B));

$$S := \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\alpha_1 x_1 d_1^2 + \alpha_1 x_1 d_2^2 - d_2}{\alpha_1} & 0\\ 0 & -\frac{1}{\alpha_1} \end{bmatrix}$$

If we introduce the following simple elementary matrix

> Y:=evalm([[1,0],[0,-alpha[1]]]);

$$Y := \left[\begin{array}{cc} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -\alpha_1 \end{array} \right]$$

we then obtain that the matrix $T=Y\,S$ has the simple form:

> T:=map(collect,subs(alpha[1]=I,Mult(Y,S,B)),{d[1],d[2]},distributed);

$$T := \begin{bmatrix} x_1 d_1^2 + x_1 d_2^2 + i d_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Hence, if \mathcal{F} denotes a left B-module, then we get that $\ker_{\mathcal{F}}(R)$ is equivalent to $(x_1 \Delta + i d_2) \zeta = 0$.