



ELSEVIER

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com

SCIENCE @ DIRECT®

**Journal of
Differential
Equations**

J. Differential Equations 196 (2004) 301–315

<http://www.elsevier.com/locate/jde>

The mesa problem for Neumann boundary value problem

Philippe Bénilan^{*} and Noureddine Igbida¹

LAMFA, CNRS-UMR 6140, Université de Picardie Jules Verne, 33 rue Saint Leu, Amiens 80038, France

Received November 6, 2001; revised April 3, 2003

Abstract

In this paper, we study the singular limit of the Porous Medium equation $u_t = \Delta u^m + g(x, u)$, as $m \rightarrow \infty$, in a bounded domain with Neumann boundary condition.

© 2003 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

Keywords: Singular limit; Mesa problem; Nonlinear semi group theory; Accretive operator; Mild solution; Parabolic problem; Elliptic problem

1. Introduction

The aim of this paper is to study the effects of a lower-order nonlinearity and Neumann boundary condition on the limit of the Porous Medium equation $u_t = \Delta u^m$, when the parameter m goes to ∞ . This is a particular case of an overall program of studying the so-called singular limit for nonlinear pdes, i.e., a perturbation problem where the perturbed problem is of totally different character than the unperturbed one. Recently, in light of Monge Kantorovich mass transfer theory, Evans et al. proved in [9] that the related problem of taking the limit $p \rightarrow \infty$, for the pde $u_t = \Delta_p u$ has turned out to be interesting. Our approach is different, it is based on the ideas we introduced in [6] (see also [10]) for the similar problem with Dirichlet boundary condition. However, in our case, i.e. Neumann boundary condition, the description of the limit is more delicate.

E-mail address: noureddine.igbida@u-picardie.fr (N. Igbida).

^{*}Philippe Bénilan sadly passed away last year.

¹The main results of this work were obtained when the second author was a Ph.D. student of Bénilan in Besançon (c.f. [10]).

Let Ω be a bounded open set in \mathbb{R}^N with smooth boundary $\partial\Omega$. For $m \geq 1$, we consider the problem

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = \Delta u^m + g(u) & \text{on } Q = (0, T) \times \Omega, \\ \frac{\partial u^m}{\partial n} = 0 & \text{on } \Sigma = (0, T) \times \partial\Omega, \\ u(0, \cdot) = u_0(\cdot) & \text{on } \Omega, \end{cases} \tag{1}$$

where $g : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous with

$$g(0) \geq 0, \quad \frac{dg}{dr} \leq K \text{ in } \mathcal{D}'(0, \infty), \quad K \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}_+) \tag{2}$$

and $u_0 \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ with

$$0 \leq u_0 \leq M_0 \text{ a.e. on } \Omega. \tag{3}$$

According to (2), for any $r \in \mathbb{R}_+$ there exists a unique maximal solution $q(r, t)$ defined on the maximal interval $[0, T(r))$ of the o.d.e.

$$\frac{dq}{dt} = g(q) \text{ on } (0, T(r)), \quad q(0) = r. \tag{4}$$

Choosing

$$0 < T < T(M_0) \tag{5}$$

it is easy to show that there exists a unique bounded weak solution u of (1) in the sense:

$$\begin{cases} u \in \mathcal{C}([0, T]; L^1(\Omega)) \cap L^\infty(Q), \\ u \geq 0, \quad u^m \in L^2(0, T; H^1(\Omega)), \\ \int \int u \xi_t + \int \int g(u) \xi = \int \int D u^m D \xi + \int u_0 \xi(0, \cdot), \\ \forall \xi \in W^{1,1}(0, T; L^1(\Omega)) \cap L^2(0, T; H^1(\Omega)), \quad \xi(T, \cdot) \equiv 0. \end{cases} \tag{6}$$

We denote by u_m this solution. By maximum principle, it is clear that

$$0 \leq u_m(t, x) \leq q(M_0, t) \text{ a.e. } (t, x) \in Q. \tag{7}$$

This paper describes the limit of u_m as m goes to ∞ . In the case $g \equiv 0$, it has been proved in [3] (c.f. Theorem 3) that $u_m(t) \rightarrow u_0$ in $L^1(\Omega)$ for $t \in]0, T]$, where

$$u_0 = \begin{cases} f u_0 \left(:= \frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int_\Omega u_0 \right) & \text{if } f u_0 \geq 1, \\ u_0 \chi_{[w=0]} + \chi_{[w>0]} & \text{if } f u_0 < 1 \end{cases} \tag{8}$$

with $w \in H^1(\Omega)$ the unique solution of the “mesa problem”

$$w \in H^2(\Omega), \quad w \geq 0, \quad 0 \leq \Delta w + u_0 \leq 1,$$

$$w(\Delta w + u_0 - 1) = 0 \text{ a.e. } \Omega \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\partial w}{\partial n} = 0 \text{ on } \Sigma.$$

Following the same approach as in [6] for the similar problem, where the Neumann boundary condition was replaced by the Dirichlet boundary condition, we prove for a general g satisfying (2) that

$$u_m \rightarrow u_\infty \quad \text{in } \mathcal{C}((0, T); L^1(\Omega)).$$

But the description of the limit u_∞ is more delicate. Indeed, we have the following cases:

Case 1: If $\int u_0 \geq 1$, then

$$u_\infty(t, x) = q(\int u_0, t) \quad \text{for a.a. } (t, x) \in Q;$$

Case 2: If $\int u_0 < 1$ and $g(1) \leq 0$, then

$$u_\infty(t, x) = q(u_0(x), t) \quad \text{for a.a. } (t, x) \in Q;$$

Case 3: If $\int u_0 < 1$ and $g(1) > 0$, then there exists $T_0 \in (0, T]$ such that

(a) u_∞ is the unique solution on $(0, T_0) \times \Omega$ of

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} u_\infty \in L^\infty((0, T_0) \times \Omega), \quad 0 \leq u_\infty \leq 1 \text{ a.e. on } (0, T_0) \times \Omega \\ \text{there exists } w_\infty \in L^2_{\text{loc}}([0, T_0]; H^1(\Omega)) \text{ such that} \\ w_\infty \geq 0, \quad w_\infty(u_\infty - 1) = 0 \text{ a.e. on } (0, T_0) \times \Omega \text{ and} \\ \int_0^{T_0} \int_\Omega \xi u_\infty + g(u_\infty) \xi + \int_\Omega \xi(0, \cdot) u_0 = \int_0^{T_0} \int_\Omega D \xi Dw_\infty \\ \forall \xi \in \mathcal{C}^1([0, T_0) \times \bar{\Omega}), \quad \xi \text{ compactly supported;} \end{array} \right.$$

(b) $u_\infty(t, x) = q(1, t - T_0)$ for a.a. $x \in \Omega$, for any $t \in [T_0, T]$;

Actually we will consider problem (1) with a reaction term $g(u) = g(t, x, u)$ depending on (t, x) ; the exact assumptions and results will be precised in Section 3. In Section 2, we will prepare the results by studying problem (1) and its limit as $m \rightarrow \infty$, with $g(u)$ replaced by a function $h(t, x)$ independent of u .

2. The problem with reaction term independent of u

To apply abstract arguments of the nonlinear semigroups theory, we first consider the elliptic problem

$$v = \Delta v^m + f \text{ on } \Omega, \quad \frac{\partial v^m}{\partial n} = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega$$

with $f \in L^1(\Omega)$. Applying Theorem 20 in [7], for any $m > 0$, there exists a unique solution v of

$$\begin{cases} v \in L^1(\Omega), & v^m := |v|^{m-1}v \in W^{1,1}(\Omega), \\ \int_{\Omega} Dv^m D\xi = \int_{\Omega} (f - v)\xi, & \forall \xi \in W^{1,\infty}(\Omega). \end{cases} \tag{9}$$

If v, \hat{v} are the solutions corresponding to $f, \hat{f} \in L^1(\Omega)$ then

$$\int_{\Omega} (v - \hat{v})^+ \leq \int_{\Omega} (f - \hat{f})^+. \tag{10}$$

One has the following result as $m \rightarrow \infty$:

Proposition 1. *Let $f \in L^1(\Omega)$ and for $m > 0$, v_m be the unique solution of (9).*

(1) (c.f. [5]). *If $|f| < 1$, there exists a unique solution (v, w) of*

$$\begin{cases} v \in L^\infty(\Omega), & w \in W^{1,1}(\Omega), \quad v \in \text{sign}(w) \text{ a.e. on } \Omega, \\ \int Dv Dw D\xi = \int (f - v)\xi, & \forall \xi \in \mathcal{C}^1(\bar{\Omega}) \end{cases} \tag{11}$$

and $(v_m, (v_m)^m) \rightarrow (v, w)$ in $L^1(\Omega) \times W^{1,1}(\Omega)$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$.

(2) *If $|f| \geq 1$, then $v_m \rightarrow f$ in $L^1(\Omega)$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$.*

Proof. Part (1) is a particular case of Theorem B in [5]. Let us prove part (2). Thanks to (10), it is enough to prove it for $|f| > 1$. Since the problem is odd, let us assume without loss of generality that $f > 1$. According to [5], we have

$$\{v_m\}_{m \geq 1} \text{ is relatively compact in } L^1(\Omega),$$

$$\{(v_m)^m - C_m\}_{m \geq 1} \text{ is relatively compact in } W^{1,1}(\Omega),$$

where $C_m = f(v_m)^m$. Let $m_k \rightarrow \infty$ such that $v_k := v_{m_k} \rightarrow v$ in $L^1(\Omega)$ and $\tilde{w}_k := (v_{m_k})^{m_k} - C_{m_k} \rightarrow \tilde{w}_\infty$ in $W^{1,1}(\Omega)$ and a.e. on Ω . Using $f v_k = f f > 1$, one has

$$f(v_k^+)^{m_k} \geq (f v_k^+)^{m_k} \geq (f f)^{m_k} \rightarrow \infty.$$

Since

$$C_{m_k} \frac{|\{v_k > 0\}|}{|\Omega|} \geq f(v_k^+)^{m_k} - f|\tilde{w}_k|$$

we have $C_{m_k} \rightarrow \infty$. Then $\frac{\tilde{w}_k}{C_{m_k}} \rightarrow 0$ a.e. and $(\frac{v_k}{C_{m_k}})^{\frac{1}{m_k}} = (1 + \frac{\tilde{w}_k}{C_{m_k}})^{\frac{1}{m_k}} \rightarrow 1$ a.e. So $v = \lim_{m_k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{C_{m_k}^{m_k}}$ a.e. is constant on Ω and equal to $\int v = \int f$. \square

Those results may be restated in terms of operators in $L^1(\Omega)$. For $m \geq 1$, let A_m be the operator defined by

$$A_m v = -\Delta v^m \quad \text{with}$$

$$\mathcal{D}(A_m) = \{v \in L^m(\Omega); v^m \in W^{1,1}(\Omega), h = -\Delta v^m \in L^1(\Omega)$$

$$\text{and } \int Dv^m D\xi = \int h\xi \quad \forall \xi \in \mathcal{C}^1(\bar{\Omega})\}. \tag{12}$$

Then A_m is m -accretive in $L^1(\Omega)$ and $A_m \rightarrow A_\infty$ in the sense of graph, where A_∞ is the multivalued m -accretive operator in $L^1(\Omega)$ defined by

$$z \in A_\infty v \Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} v, z \in L^1(\Omega), \int z = 0 \text{ and} \\ \text{either } v = \mu \text{ a.e. on } \Omega \text{ with } \mu \in \mathbb{R}, |\mu| \geq 1 \\ \text{or there exists } w \in W^{1,1}(\Omega) \text{ such that} \\ v \in \text{sign}(w) \text{ a.e. on } \Omega \text{ and} \\ \int Dw D\xi = \int z\xi \quad \forall \xi \in \mathcal{C}^1(\bar{\Omega}). \end{cases} \tag{13}$$

Indeed, A_∞ being defined as above, for $f \in L^1(\Omega)$, one has

$$v + A_\infty v \ni f \Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} v \in L^1(\Omega) \int v = \int f \text{ and} \\ \text{either } v = \mu \text{ a.e. on } \Omega \text{ with } \mu \in \mathbb{R}, |\mu| \geq 1 \\ \text{or there exists } w \text{ such that } (v, w) \\ \text{is the solution of (11),} \end{cases}$$

so that according to Proposition 1, there exists a unique solution v of $v + A_\infty v \ni f$ and

$$v = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} (I + A_m)^{-1} f.$$

Let $T > 0$ be fixed; set $Q = [0, T) \times \Omega$ and let $u_0 \in L^1(\Omega)$ and $h \in L^1(Q)$ be given. Using the general theory of evolution equation, for any $m \geq 1$ there exists a unique

mild solution (see [2,4,8]) $u_m \in \mathcal{C}([0, T]; L^1(\Omega))$ of

$$\frac{du_m}{dt} + A_m u_m \ni h \text{ on } (0, T) \quad u_m(0) = u_0. \tag{14}$$

Assume $u_0 \geq 0$ a.e. on Ω . Using [3, c.f. Theorem 3] and [6, c.f. Theorem 1], $u_m \rightarrow u_\infty$ in $\mathcal{C}((0, T); L^1(\Omega))$ where u_∞ is the unique mild solution of

$$\frac{du_\infty}{dt} + A_\infty u_\infty \ni h \text{ on } (0, T) \quad u_\infty(0) = u_0, \tag{15}$$

and u_0 defined by (8) is $(I + A_\infty)^{-1}u_0$ (and then $e^{-tA_\infty}u_0 = u_0$). To translate this result in terms of p.d.e. we characterize the mild solutions of (14) and (15). First, one has the following result for (14):

Proposition 2. *Let $u_0 \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ and $h \in L^1(Q)$ with*

$$\int_0^T \|h(t, \cdot)\|_\infty dt < \infty. \tag{16}$$

For any $m \geq 1$, there exists a unique solution u of the problem

$$\begin{cases} u \in L^\infty(Q), & u^m \in L^2(0, T; H^1(\Omega)) \\ \int \int \zeta_t u + \int \int \zeta h + \int \zeta(0, \cdot)u_0 = \int \int Du^m D\zeta \\ \forall \zeta \in \mathcal{C}^1(\bar{Q}), \quad \zeta(T, \cdot) \equiv 0. \end{cases} \tag{17}$$

Moreover u is the mild solution u_m of (14).

Proof. This is a quite standard result (c.f. [2]). For completeness let us give the arguments. We first show that the mild solution u of (14) satisfies (17). By definition of a mild solution, $u(t) = L^1 - \lim u_\varepsilon(t)$ uniformly for $t \in [0, T]$, where for $\varepsilon > 0$, u_ε is an ε -approximate solution corresponding to a subdivision $t_0 = 0 < t_1 < \dots < t_{n-1} < T \leq t_n$, with $t_i - t_{i-1} < \varepsilon$ and $h_1, \dots, h_n \in L^1(\Omega)$ with $\sum_{i=1}^n \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} \|h(t) - h_i\|_{L^1} dt \leq \varepsilon$, defined by $u_\varepsilon(0) = u_0$, $u_\varepsilon(t) = u_i$ for $t \in]t_{i-1}, t_i]$, where $u_i \in L^1(\Omega)$ satisfies

$$\frac{u_i - u_{i-1}}{t_i - t_{i-1}} + A_m u_i \ni h_i;$$

that is

$$\begin{cases} u_i = (t_i - t_{i-1})\Delta(u_i)^m + (t_i - t_{i-1})h_i + u_{i-1} & \text{on } \Omega \\ \frac{\partial(u_i)^m}{\partial n} = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases} \tag{18}$$

We may choose $h_i \in L^\infty(\Omega)$, with

$$\sum_{i=1}^n (t_i - t_{i-1}) \|h_i\|_\infty \leq \int_0^T \|h(t, \cdot)\|_{L^\infty} dt.$$

It follows that $u_i \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ and

$$\|u_i\|_\infty \leq \|u_0\|_\infty + \sum_{j=1}^i (t_j - t_{j-1}) \|h_j\|_\infty,$$

so

$$\|u_\varepsilon\|_{L^\infty(Q)} \leq M_1 := \|u_0\|_\infty + \int_0^T \|h(t, \cdot)\|_{L^\infty} dt.$$

Then multiplying (18) by $(u_i)^m$, one gets

$$\frac{1}{m+1} \int |u_i|^{m+1} + (t_i - t_{i-1}) \int |D(u_i)^m|^2 \leq (t_i - t_{i-1}) M_1 \int |h_i| + \frac{1}{m+1} \int |u_{i-1}|^{m+1}$$

so

$$\|Du_\varepsilon^m\|_{L^2(Q)}^2 \leq \frac{1}{m+1} \int |u_0|^{m+1} + M_1 \|h\|_{L^1(Q)}. \tag{19}$$

Let \tilde{u}_ε be the function from $[0, t_n]$ into $L^1(\Omega)$ defined by $\tilde{u}_\varepsilon(t_i) = u_i$, \tilde{u}_ε is linear in $[t_{i-1}, t_i]$ and h_ε be defined by $h_\varepsilon(t) = h_i$ on $]t_{i-1}, t_i[$; for $\xi \in W^{1,1}(0, T; L^1(\Omega)) \cap L^2(0, T; H^1(\Omega))$ with $\xi(T, \cdot) \equiv 0$

$$\int \int \tilde{u}_\varepsilon \xi_t + h_\varepsilon \xi + \int u_0 \xi(0, \cdot) = \int \int D(u_\varepsilon)^m D\xi. \tag{20}$$

Passing to the limit in (19) and (20) one gets that u is a solution of (17).

At last, we show uniqueness of the solution to (17). It follows from Lemma A in the appendix: if u_1, u_2 are two solutions of (17), apply with $H = L^2(\Omega)$, $V = H^1(\Omega)$, $a(u, v) = \int Du Dv$ $u = u_1 - u_2$, $v = (u_1)^m - (u_2)^m$. \square

We consider now problem (15).

Proposition 3. *Let $u_0 \in L^1(\Omega)$ and $h \in L^2(Q)$. Set*

$$\mu(t) = \int_\Omega u_0 + \int_0^t (\int_\Omega h(s)) ds \tag{21}$$

and

$$I = \{t \in (0, T); \mu(t) < 1\}. \tag{22}$$

Assume that the mild solution u_∞ of (15) is nonnegative. Then $u = u_\infty$ is the unique solution of the following problem:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{(i) } u \in \mathcal{C}([0, T]; L^1(\Omega)), \quad u(0) = u_0, \\ \text{(ii) } u(t) \equiv \mu(t) \quad \text{a.e. on } \Omega \text{ for any } t \in (0, T) \setminus I \\ \text{(iii) there exists } w \in L^\infty_{\text{loc}}(I; H^1(\Omega)) \text{ such that } u \in \text{sign}(w) \\ \quad \text{a.e. on } \Omega \text{ and } \int \int \xi_t u + \xi h = \int \int Dw D\xi, \quad \forall \xi \in \mathcal{C}^1(I \times \bar{\Omega}), \\ \quad \text{compactly supported.} \end{array} \right. \quad (23)$$

To prove this proposition we will use the following lemma:

Lemma 1. *Let $\varepsilon > 0$, $u, \hat{u}, h \in L^1(\Omega)$ and $w \in H^1(\Omega)$ such that $u \in \text{sign}(w)$ a.e. on Ω , $|\hat{u}| \leq 1$ and*

$$\int (Dw D\xi + h\xi) = \int \frac{u - \hat{u}}{\varepsilon} \xi, \quad \forall \xi \in \mathcal{C}^1(\bar{\Omega}).$$

If $\int |u| < 1$, then

$$\|w\|_{L^1} \leq \frac{C}{1 - \int |u|} \|h\|_{L^1},$$

where C is a constant depending only on Ω .

Proof. First, by Kato inequality (c.f. [1, Theorem 2.4]), for any $\xi \in W^{2,1}(\Omega)$ with $\xi \geq 0$, $\frac{\partial \xi}{\partial n} = 0$ on $\partial\Omega$, one has

$$\begin{aligned} \int |w|(-\Delta \xi) &\leq \int_{w \neq 0} \xi \left(h - \frac{u - \hat{u}}{\varepsilon} \right) \text{sign}(w) \\ &\leq \int_{w \neq 0} \xi h \text{sign}(w) \\ &\leq \|\xi\|_{L^\infty} \|h\|_{L^1}. \end{aligned}$$

Let ξ_0 be the solution of

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} -\Delta \xi_0 = |u| - \int |u| \quad \text{in } \Omega, \\ \frac{\partial \xi_0}{\partial n} = 0 \quad \quad \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega, \\ \int \xi_0 = 0; \end{array} \right.$$

one has $\xi_0 \in W^{2,p}(\Omega)$ for any $1 < p < \infty$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \|\xi_0\|_{L^\infty} &\leq C \| |u| - \int |u| \|_{L^\infty} \\ &\leq C, \end{aligned}$$

where C is a constant depending only on Ω . Set $\xi = \xi_0 + C$, one has $\xi \geq 0$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \int |w|(|u| - f|u|) &= \int |w|(-\Delta \xi) \\ &\leq \int \xi |h| \\ &\leq 2C \|h\|_{L^1} \end{aligned}$$

and since $|uw| = |w|$ a.a. Ω , one has

$$\|w\|_{L^1} \leq \frac{2C}{1 - f|u|} \|h\|_{L^1}. \quad \square$$

Firstly, we prove a particular case of Proposition 3 stated in the following lemma:

Lemma 2. *Let u_0 and h be as in Proposition 3. Assume that $\mu(t)$ defined by (21) satisfies*

$$\mu(t) < 1 \quad \text{for all } t \in [0, T] \tag{24}$$

and that the mild solution u_∞ of (15) is nonnegative. Then u_∞ is the unique solution u of

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} u \in L^\infty(Q), \text{ there exists } w \in L^2(0, T; H^1(\Omega)) \\ \text{such that } u \in \text{sign}(w) \text{ a.e. } \Omega \text{ and} \\ \int \int \xi_t u + \int \int \xi h + \int \xi(0, \cdot) u_0 = \int \int Dw D\xi \\ \forall \xi \in \mathcal{C}^1(\bar{Q}), \xi(T, \cdot) \equiv 0. \end{array} \right. \tag{25}$$

Proof. For uniqueness of a solution u of (25), apply Lemma A in the appendix in the same way as in the proof of Proposition 2. To prove that the mild solution $u = u_\infty$ of (15) satisfies (25), consider as in the proof of Proposition 2, an ε -approximate solution u_ε corresponding to a subdivision $t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_{n-1} < T \leq t_n$ and $h_1, \dots, h_n \in L^2(\Omega)$ with $\sum_{i=1}^n \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} \|h(t) - h_i\|_{L^2}^2 dt \leq \varepsilon$. One has $u_\varepsilon(t) = u_i$ on $]t_{i-1}, t_i]$ with $(u_i, w_i) \in L^\infty(\Omega) \times H^2(\Omega)$ solution of

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} u_i = u_{i-1} + (t_i - t_{i-1})(\Delta w_i + h_i) \quad \text{on } \Omega, \\ u_i \in \text{sign}(w_i) \quad \text{on } \Omega, \\ \frac{\partial w_i}{\partial n} = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega \end{array} \right. \tag{26}$$

(using the convention for $i = 1$, $u_{i-1} = u_0$).

Since $u_\varepsilon(t) \rightarrow u_\infty(t)$ in $L^1(\Omega)$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ uniformly for $t \in [0, T]$, according to (24) for $\varepsilon > 0$ small enough, one has $f|u_i| \leq \theta$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$ with $\theta < 1$ independent of ε .

Using Lemma 1,

$$\|w_i\|_{L^1} \leq C_1 \|h_i\|_{L^1} \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, n \tag{27}$$

with C_1 independent of ε .

Multiplying (26) by w_i , one gets

$$\begin{aligned} \int |Dw_i|^2 &= \int w_i h_i - \int \frac{|w_i| - w_i u_{i-1}}{t_i - t_{i-1}} \\ &\leq \|w_i\|_{L^2} \|h_i\|_{L^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Then, by Poincaré inequality and (27), one obtains

$$\|Dw_i\|_{L^2} \leq C_2 \|h_i\|_{L^2} \tag{28}$$

with C_2 independent of ε .

It follows from (27) and (28) that the function w_ε defined by $w_\varepsilon(t) = w_i$ on $]t_{i-1}, t_i[$, is bounded in $L^2(0, T; H^1(\Omega))$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. Let $\varepsilon_k \rightarrow 0$ such that $w_{\varepsilon_k} \rightharpoonup w$ in $L^2(0, T; H^1(\Omega))$. Since $u_\varepsilon \rightarrow u_\infty$ in $L^1(Q)$ and $u_\varepsilon \in \text{sign}(w_\varepsilon)$ a.e. on Q , at the limit $u_\infty \in \text{sign}(w)$ a.e. on Q . Using the function \tilde{u}_ε as in the proof of Proposition 2, one ends up the proof of $u = u_\infty$ satisfies (25). \square

Proof of Proposition 3. Firstly, we prove uniqueness of a solution u of (23). By definition, a solution $u(t)$ of (23) is defined on $((0, T) \setminus I) \cup \{0\}$. Let (a, b) be a component of I . A solution $u(t)$ of (23) is defined for $t = a$. Applying Lemma 2, for $a < \alpha < \beta < b$, $u = u_\alpha$ on $(\alpha, \beta) \times \Omega$ where u_α is the mild solution of $\frac{du_\alpha}{dt} + A_\infty u_\alpha \ni h$ on (α, β) , $u_\alpha(\alpha) = u(\alpha)$. If u_1, u_2 are two solutions of (15), by the contraction property for mild solutions,

$$\|u_1(t) - u_2(t)\|_{L^1} \leq \|u_1(\alpha) - u_2(\alpha)\|_{L^1}, \quad \forall a < \alpha \leq t < b.$$

Since $u_1(\alpha) - u_2(\alpha) \rightarrow 0$ in $L^1(\Omega)$ as $\alpha \rightarrow a$, $u_1 = u_2$ on $(a, b) \times \Omega$.

Now let $u = u_\infty$ be the mild solutions of (15). By assumption, u satisfies (23i) and $u \geq 0$. Being a mild solution it is clear that $u(t) \leq 1$ and $\dot{f}u(t) = \mu(t)$; then u satisfies (23ii). At last by Lemma 2, u satisfies (23iii). \square

Summing up the results of Propositions 1–3, according to the results of [6,3], one has:

Corollary 1. *Let $u_0 \in L^\infty(\Omega)$, $u_0 \geq 0$ and $h \in L^\infty(0, T; L^1(\Omega))$ satisfying (16). For any $m \geq 1$, there exists a unique solution u_m of (17) and*

$$u_m \rightarrow u \quad \text{in } \mathcal{C}((0, T); L^1(\Omega)) \text{ as } m \rightarrow \infty.$$

If $u \geq 0$, then u is the unique solution of (23).

3. The general reaction–diffusion problem

We consider problem (1) with g depending on (t, x) . We assume $g : Q \times \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfies

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{(i) for any } r \in \mathbb{R}_+, g(\cdot, r) \in L^\infty(0, T; L^1(\Omega)) \text{ and} \\ \int_0^T \|g(t, \cdot, r)\|_{L^\infty} dt < \infty, \\ \text{(ii) for a.a. } (t, x) \in Q, g(t, x, \cdot) \text{ is continuous on } \mathbb{R}_+ \text{ and} \\ \frac{\partial g}{\partial r}(t, x, \cdot) \leq K(\cdot) \text{ in } \mathcal{D}'(0, \infty) \end{array} \right. \quad (29)$$

with $K : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ continuous. Consequently, for any $u \in L^\infty(Q)$ with $u \geq 0$, the function $h = g(\cdot, u)$ is in $L^\infty(0, \infty; L^1(\Omega))$ and satisfies (16); indeed

$$g(\cdot, \|u\|_\infty) - \int_0^{\|u\|_\infty} K(r) dr \leq g(\cdot, u) \leq g(\cdot, 0) + \int_0^{\|u\|_\infty} K(r) dr.$$

In this section, we fix $u_0 \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ satisfying (3). We assume there is $M \in W^{1,1}(0, T)$, so

$$M'(t) \geq g(t, x, M(t)) \quad \text{for a.a. } (t, x) \in Q, \quad M(0) \geq M_0. \quad (30)$$

Applying Section 2, we have the following result:

Theorem 1. *Under the above assumption, for any $m \geq 1$, there exists a unique u_m solution of*

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} u_m \in L^\infty(Q), \quad u_m \geq 0, \quad (u_m)^m \in L^2(0, T; H^1(\Omega)) \\ \int \int u_m \xi_t + g(\cdot, u_m) \xi + \int u_0 \xi(0, \cdot) = \int \int D \xi D(u_m)^m \\ \forall \xi \in \mathcal{C}^1(\bar{Q}), \quad \xi(T, \cdot) = 0. \end{array} \right. \quad (31)$$

Moreover $u_m \in \mathcal{C}([0, T]; L^1(\Omega))$, $u_m(t, x) \leq M(t)$ for a.a. $(t, x) \in Q$; $u_m \rightarrow u$ in $\mathcal{C}((0, T); L^1(\Omega))$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$ and u is the unique function in $L^\infty(Q)$ with $u \geq 0$, satisfying (23) with $h = g(\cdot, u)$.

Proof. For $R > 0$, let F_R be the map from $[0, T) \times L^1(\Omega)$ into $L^1(\Omega)$ defined by

$$F_R(t, u) = g(t, \cdot, u^+ \wedge R).$$

With (29), F_R is integrable in $t \in (0, T)$ uniformly for any $u \in L^1(\Omega)$ and continuous in $u \in L^1(\Omega)$ for a.a. $t \in (0, T)$; moreover $(\max_{[0,R]} K)I - F_R(t, \cdot)$ is accretive in $L^1(\Omega)$. Then (see for instance [6, Lemma 1]) there exists a unique mild solution of

$$\frac{du}{dt} + A_m u \ni F_R(\cdot, u) \quad \text{on } (0, T), \quad u(0) = u_0. \quad (32)$$

Let first u_m be a solution of (31) and fix $R \geq \|u_m\|_\infty$; Since $h := g(\cdot, u_m) = F_R(\cdot, u_m)$, applying Proposition 2, u_m is a mild solution of (32). From uniqueness of a solution to (32), follows uniqueness of a solution to (31). Conversely, let $R = \max_{[0,T]} M$ and consider the mild solution u_m of (32). By Proposition 2, u_m is solution of (17) with $h = g(\cdot, u_m^+ \wedge R)$. We will prove that

$$0 \leq u_m(t, x) \leq M(t) \quad \text{for a.a. } (t, x) \in Q \tag{33}$$

it will follow that $h = g(\cdot, u_m)$ and then u_m is solution of (32). To prove (33), we use the fact that, according to (10), the operator A_m is T-accretive in $L^1(\Omega)$ (c.f. [2,4]). If u_1, u_2 are mild solutions of (15) corresponding to $(h_1, u_{01}), (h_2, u_{02})$ in $L^1(Q) \times L^1(\Omega)$ respectively, one has for all $t \in [0, T]$

$$\int (u_1(t) - u_2(t))^+ \leq \int (u_{01} - u_{02})^+ + \int_0^t \int_{[u_1 \geq u_2]} (h_1 - h_2)^+, \tag{34}$$

Apply with $u_2 = u_m, h_2 = F_R(\cdot, u_m), u_{02} = u_0, u_1 = 0, h_1 = 0, u_{01} = 0$. Since $u_m \geq 0$ and $F_R(\cdot, u_m)\chi_{[u_m \leq 0]} = g(\cdot, 0) \geq 0$, one first obtains $u_m \geq 0$. Secondly, notice that $u_2(t, x) = M(t)$ is strong solution, and then mild solution of (15) with $h_2(t, x) = M'(t)$, as $u_{02} = M(0)$. Using (29) and (30), one has

$$\begin{aligned} F_R(\cdot, u_m)\chi_{[u_m \geq M]} &= g(\cdot, u_m \wedge R)\chi_{[u_m \geq M]} \\ &\leq g(\cdot, M)\chi_{[u_m \geq M]} + \chi_{[u_m \geq M]} \int_M^{u_m \wedge R} k(r) dr \\ &\leq M'\chi_{[u_m \geq M]} + \left(\max_{[0,R]} K\right)(u_m - M)^+ \end{aligned}$$

and then, using (34), $u_m \leq M$. This proves first part of the theorem and u_m is the mild solution of (32) with $R = \max_{[0,T]} M$. Using Theorem 1 in [6], with Proposition 1, $u_m \rightarrow u$ in $\mathcal{C}((0, T); L^1(\Omega))$ where u is the unique mild solution of

$$\frac{du}{dt} + A_\infty u \ni F_R(\cdot, u) \quad \text{on } (0, T) \quad u(0) = (I + A_\infty)^{-1} u_0.$$

Since $0 \leq u \leq M$, with the above arguments, thanks to Proposition 3, u is the unique function in $L^\infty(Q)$ with $u \geq 0$ is solution of (23) with $h = g(\cdot, u)$. \square

Now we will make more explicit the limit solution u in the case $g(t, x, u) = g(u)$ (independent of $(t, x) \in Q$). Throughout the end of this section $g: \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is defined by (2) and we assume (5), so $M'(t) = q(t, M_0)$ satisfies (30). Then we have the following characterization of the limit solution u .

Corollary 2. *If $g(t, x, u) = g(u)$ with $g: \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfies (2), then the limit u of u_m is defined as it is claimed in the introduction*

Case 1: If $\int u_0 \geq 1$, then

$$u(t, x) = q(\int u_0, t) \quad \text{for a.a. } (t, x) \in Q.$$

Case 2: If $\int u_0 < 1$ and $g(1) \leq 0$, then

$$u(t, x) = q(u_0(x), t) \quad \text{for a.a. } (t, x) \in Q.$$

Case 3: If $\int u_0 < 1$ and $g(1) > 0$, then there exists $T_0 \in (0, T]$ such that

(a) u is the unique solution on $(0, T_0) \times \Omega$ of

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} u \in L^\infty((0, T_0) \times \Omega), \quad 0 \leq u \leq 1 \text{ a.e. on } (0, T_0) \times \Omega \\ \text{there exists } w_\infty \in L^2_{\text{loc}}([0, T_0]; H^1(\Omega)) \text{ such that} \\ w_\infty \geq 0, \quad w_\infty(u - 1) = 0 \text{ a.e. on } (0, T_0) \times \Omega \text{ and} \\ \int_0^{T_0} \int_\Omega \xi_t u + g(u) \xi + \int_\Omega \xi(0, \cdot) u_0 = \int_0^{T_0} \int_\Omega D\xi Dw_\infty \\ \forall \xi \in \mathcal{C}^1([0, T_0) \times \bar{\Omega}), \quad \xi \text{ compactly supported} \end{array} \right.$$

(b) $u(t, x) = q(1, t - T_0)$ for a.a. $x \in \Omega$, for any $t \in [T_0, T]$;

Proof. Recall that u is the unique function in $L^\infty(Q)$ with $u \geq 0$ satisfying (23) with $h = g(u)$. In the case $\int u_0 \geq 1$, $u_0 = \int u_0$; the function $u(t, x) = q(\int u_0, t)$ is clearly the solution of (23) with $h(t, x) = g(q(\int u_0, t)) = u_t(t, x)$.

In the case $\int u_0 < 1$, $u_0 \leq 1$. If $g(1) \leq 0$, one has $u(t, x) = q(u_0(x), t) \in [0, 1]$ for a.a. $(t, x) \in Q$ and then u is the solution of (23) with $h(t, x) = u_t(t, x)$, $I = (0, T)$, $w \equiv 0$. At last consider the case $g(1) > 0$. If $]a, b[$ is a component of

$$\{t \in (0, T); \int u(t) > 1\},$$

one has $a > 0$, $\int u(a) = 1$ and $u(t) \equiv \int u(t)$ on $[a, b]$. Further $u(t) \equiv q(1, t - a)$ on $[a, b]$. Since $g(1) > 0$, one has $q(1, b - a) > 0$ and then $b = T$. So $I = (0, T_0)$ with $T_0 \in (0, T]$ and the result follows. \square

Remarques.

(i) In Case 3, if $M_0 < 1$, setting

$$T_1 = \max\{t \in [0, T]; q(u_0, t) \leq 1 \text{ a.e. on } \Omega\}$$

one has

$$T_0 \geq T_1 \quad \text{and} \quad u_\infty(t, x) = q(u_0(x), t) \text{ for a.a. on } (0, T_1) \times \Omega.$$

In particular, if $g(M_0) \leq 0$ then $T_0 = T_1 = T$.

(ii) Still in case 3, define

$$T_2 = \sup\{t; q(\mathfrak{f}u_0, t) < 1\}.$$

If g is concave (resp. convex) on $[0, 1]$, then

$$\frac{d}{dt}\mathfrak{f}u(t) \leq (\text{resp. } \geq) g(\mathfrak{f}u(t)) \quad \text{for } t \in (0, T_0).$$

Further $\mathfrak{f}u(t) \leq (\text{resp. } \geq) q(\mathfrak{f}u_0, t)$ for $t \in (0, T_0)$ so $T_0 \geq (\text{resp. } \leq) T_2$.

Appendix

We give here a general lemma used to prove uniqueness. While this method is classical, we did not find such statement in the literature.

Lemma A. *Let $V \subseteq H$ be Hilbert spaces with continuous injection and $a: V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be continuous bilinear symmetric and nonnegative ($a(v, v) \geq 0$). Let $u \in L^2(0, T; H)$, $w \in L^2(0, T; V)$ satisfying*

$$\int (u(t), \zeta'(t))_H dt = \int a(w(t), \zeta(t))$$

$$\forall \zeta \in W^{1,2}(0, T; H) \cap L^2(0, T; V) \quad \text{with } \zeta(T) = 0 \tag{A.1}$$

and

$$(u(t), w(t))_H \geq 0 \quad \text{a.e. } t \in (0, T) \tag{A.2}$$

then $u \equiv 0$.

Proof. Let $0 \leq \tau \leq T$ and apply (A.1) with $\zeta(t) = \int_{t \wedge \tau}^\tau w(s) ds$. One gets

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\tau (u(t), w(t))_H dt &= \int_0^\tau a(\zeta'(t), \zeta(t)) dt \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} a(\zeta(0), \zeta(0)) \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} a\left(\int_0^\tau w(s) ds, \int_0^\tau w(s) ds\right). \end{aligned}$$

Using (A.2), $a(\int_0^\tau w(s) ds, \int_0^\tau w(s) ds) = 0$ for any $\tau \in [0, T]$ and then $a(w(t), v) = 0$ for any $v \in V$ and a.a. $t \in (0, T)$. Using (A.1) again, $u \equiv 0$. \square

References

- [1] W. Arendt, Characterization of positive semigroups on banach lattices, in: R. Nagel (Ed.), *One Parameter Semigroups of Positive Operators*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Springer, Berlin, 1986.
- [2] Ph. Bénilan, *Équation d'Évolution dans un Espace de Banach Quelconque et Applications*, Thesis, Orsay, 1972.
- [3] Ph. Bénilan, L. Boccardo, M. Herrero, On the limit of solution of $u_t = \Delta u^m$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$, in: M. Bertch et al. (Eds.), *Some Topics in Nonlinear PDE's*, Proceedings of the International Conference, Torino, 1989.
- [4] Ph. Bénilan, M.G. Crandall, A. Pazy, Evolution Equation Governed by Accretive Operators, to appear.
- [5] Ph. Bénilan, M.G. Crandall, P. Sacks, Some L^1 existence and dependence result for semilinear elliptic equation under nonlinear boundary conditions, *Appl. Math. Optim.* 17 (1988) 203–224.
- [6] Ph. Bénilan, N. Igbida, Singular limit for perturbed nonlinear semigroup, *Comm. Appl. Nonlinear Anal.* 3 (4) (1996) 23–42.
- [7] H. Brezis, W. Strauss, Semilinear elliptic equations in L^1 , *J. Math. Soc. Japan* 25 (1973) 565–590.
- [8] M.G. Crandall, An introduction to evolution governed by accretive operators, in: J. Hale, J. LaSalle, L. Cesari (Eds.), *Dynamical Systems—An International Symposium*, Academic Press, New York, 1976, pp. 131–165.
- [9] L.C. Evans, M. Feldmanm, R.F. Gariepy, Fast/slow diffusion and collapsing sandpiles, *J. Differential Equations* 137 (1997) 166–209.
- [10] N. Igbida, *Limite singulière de problèmes d'évolution non linéaires*, Thèse de doctorat, Université de Franche-Comté, Juin, 1997.